

FOODQA TRAINING

DAY 1: 29 JANUARY 2018 FOOD SAFETY

PROF. ANTONELLO PAPARELLA apaparella@unite.it Teaching material: No copying without permission

Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points





1959: NASA - Pillsbury Project "Food safety for the first manned space missions"

HACCP DEFINITIONS

HAZARD:

A biological, chemical or physical agent in, or condition of, food with the potential to cause an adverse health effect. (Recommended International Code of Practice, Codex rev 1997).

HACCP DEFINITIONS

MICROBIOLOGICAL HAZARD:

Unacceptable <u>contamination</u>, <u>growth</u> or <u>survival</u> of microorganims and their metabolites with the potential to cause an adverse health effect.

HACCP DEFINITIONS

<u>CCP (CRITICAL CONTROL POINT)</u>:

A step at which control can be applied and is essential <u>to prevent or eliminate a food safety hazard or reduce it</u> <u>to an acceptable level</u>.

HACCP STRUCTURE:

PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS + 7 CODEX PRINCIPLES

PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PRPs)

- 1. Conduct a Hazard Analysis (HA)
- 2. Determine the Critical Control Points (CCP)
- **3. Establish Critical limits and tolerances**
- 4. Establish a monitoring system
- 5. Establish the corrective actions
- 6. Establish procedures for verification
- 7. Establish documentation

WHICH RISK LEVEL CAN

BE TOLERATED BY A

SPECIFIC POPULATION?

<u>ALOP</u>

ALOP = appropriate level of sanitary or phytosanitary protection. Also known as ALR = acceptable level of risk "the level of protection that is considered acceptable by each country in order to protect human, animal or plant health"

<u>TLR</u>

TLR = tolerable level of risk

"risk that can be tolerated by society, in comparison with the other significant risks of daily life"

<u>TLR</u>

TLR are estabilished following consideration of public health impact, technological feasability, economic implications, and that society regards as reasonable in comparison with other risks in everyday life.

It can be <u>indicated as the number of cases/year</u> caused by a specific food hazard on 100 000 people.

TLR is closely connected to social and local factors but shall be established on scientific basis

TLR EXAMPLES

- 0.5 cases /year of listeriosis on 100 000 people
- 0.02 cases /year of cholera on 100 000 people
- 0.01 cases/year of food botulism on 100 000 people
- 18 cases/year of non-typhoid salmonellosis on 100 000 people
- 10 cases /year of hepatitis A on 100 000 people

<u>DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TLR AND FSO</u>

FSO (Food Safety Objective) aims to establish practical control measures that should be applied to obtain TLR. An FSO converts the TLR into parameters that can be controlled by manufacturers

"the maximum frequency and/or concentration of a microbial hazard in a food at the moment of consumption that provides the appropriate level of health protection"

FSOs: WHO IS GOING TO ESTABLISH THEM?

In the EU Strategic role of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

Possible role of the National Food Safety Authorities

FSO EXAMPLES

- concentration of staphylococcal enterotoxins in cheeses shall not exceed 1 μg 100 g⁻¹
- early aflatoxins concentration in peanuts shall not exceed 15 μg kg⁻¹
- *Exceed 100 ufc g -1 at the moment of consumption*
- Salmonellae shall not exceed 100 ufc kg ⁻¹ in infant milk formulations

FSOs EVALUATION

IF FSO CAN BE TECHNICALLY ACHIEVED

IT BECOMES COMPULSORY FOR ALL MANUFACTURERS

IF FSO <u>CANNOT</u> BE TECHNICALLY ACHIEVED

✓ IF POSSIBLE, THE PRODUCT OF PROCESS SHOULD BE MODIFIED

✓ IF MODIFICATION IS NOT POSSIBLE, THE PRODUCT SHOULD BE BANNED

PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PRPs)

PREREQUISITES PROGRAMS

Preliminary step:	GMP verification (structure, management, equipment)
Step 1:	HACCP Team
Step 2:	Food description and storage conditions
Step 3:	Conditions of use and type of consumer
Step 4:	Flow Chart
Step 5:	Flow Chart verification

PREREQUISITES ACCORDING TO ISO 22002-1 EVALUATE THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS:

- 1. Construction and layout of building
- 2. Layout of premises and workspace
- 3. Utilities air, water, energy
- 4. Waste disposal
- 5. Equipment suitability, cleaning and maintenance
- 6. Management of purchased material
- 7. Measures for prevention of cross contamination
- 8. Cleaning and sanitizing
- 9. Pest control
- 10. Personnel hygiene and employee facilities
- 11. Rework
- 12. Product recall procedures
- 13. Warehousing
- 14. Product information
- 15. Food defense, biovigilance and bioterrorism

OPERATIONAL AND NON OPERATIONAL PREREQUISITES IN THE SO-CALLED "3-WAY SYSTEM" IN ISO 22000 NORMS

According to hazards and hazard management conditions, Self-Check can follow 3 directions:

- 1. NON OPERATIONAL PREREQUISITES
- 2. OPERATIONAL PREREQUISITES
- 2. HACCP PLAN

HACCP AND PRPs: AIMS





NON OPERATIONAL PREREQUISITE PROGRAM

It manages the basic activities in the self-check system

1. No specific hazard

2. It aims to keep good hygiene conditions

3. No continuous monitoring, Yes periodical verification

OPERATIONAL PREREQUISITES

They are targeted to specific hazards, where no corresponding CCP can be identified (e.g. L.monocytogenes in smoked salmon Salmonella enterica in raw meat and tiramisu)

Yes monitoring, Yes verification

HACCP PLAN

It aims to manage specific hazards by controlling the corresponding CCPs (or by SOPs)

Yes monitoring, Yes verification, according to the 7 Codex Principles

HACCP AND PRPs: IMPACT ON FOOD SAFETY





"3-WAY SYSTEM"



apaparella@unite.it

EXAMPLES OF PREREQUISITES DEVIATIONS

Workers wash their work clothes at home	MANAGEMENT
No hangers in the bathroom	EQUIPMENT
No separated cold store for food wastes	STRUCTURE
No automatic rewinding for flexible hoses	EQUIPMENT



EXERCISE: DESCRIPTION OF INGREDIENTS

EXERCISE

Please describe the following food item: Mechanically Deboned Meat

- NAME: MDM
- USE: INGREDIENT FOR MEAT PRODUCTS
- PACKAGING: WRAPPED IN AIR
- SL AND TEMP: 12 MONTHS, -18°C
- DISTRIBUTION: FOOD INDUSTRY (RAW MATERIAL)
- CONSUMER: ALL
- STORAGE: FROZEN, -18°C

EXERCISE

Please describe the following food item: YOGHURT

NAME:

USE:

PACKAGING:

SL AND TEMP:

DISTRIBUTION:

CONSUMER:

STORAGE:

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 1 Hazard Analysis

HAZARD HIERARCHY

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT FOR HAZARDS

1. SEVERITY: importance

2. RISK: frequency

HAZARD ANALYSIS:

THE METHODS











For zoonoses, evaluate animal epidemiological data

HAZARD ANALYSIS: WHAT WE NEED TO KNOW

Microbial ecology of foods

Technological data (process and product)

Health effects of possible pathogens

Risk Category Method

Aims:

- **1. Define monitoring priorities**
- 2. Identify when a hazard occurs
- **3. Decompose the hazard according to ingredients**

Risk Category Method

Risk Classes (for each hazard)		
RISK A	Non sterile product, intended for high-risk consumers	
RISK B	Presence of ingredients that can contain the hazard	
RISK C	No treatment eliminating the hazard	
RISK D	Possible recontamination after processing	
RISK E	Possible thermal abuse during distribution/consumption	
RISK F	Eaten without heat treatment	

Risk Category Method

RISK CATEGORIES (for each hazard)	
CATEGORY 6	Non sterile product, intended for high-risk consumers
CATEGORY 5	5 risk classes
CATEGORY 4	4 risk classes
CATEGORY 3	3 risk classes
CATEGORY 2	2 risk classes
CATEGORY 1	1 risk classes
<u>Risk Category Method</u>

Used to compare food hazards and classify food into RISK CATEGORIES

Questa pubblicazione raccoglie in maniera sintetica e accessibile a tutti, sotto forma di schede, le informazioni che consentono di stimare la significatività dei pericoli biologici, chimici e fisici nelle differenti tipologie merceologiche del settore cameo, titico e lattiero-caseario. Il testo si propone di fornire un contributo mirato a rendere più oggettiva la classificazione degli stabilimenti alimentari in base al rischio, prevista dalle norme europee in materia di controllo ufficiale dei prodotti alimentari. Al tempo tesso, pur non sostituendo in alcun modo l'Analisi dei pericoli, le schede potranno risultare utili per stimare la gravità e la frequenza dei pericoli nella vasta e variegata gamma di prodotti nei quali si articolano le filere carnee, titiche e lattiero-casearie. Antonello Paparella Morena Piumi Stefania Quintavalla Annalisa Serio

La valutazione del rischio di prodotto nella classificazione degli stabilimenti alimentari



a cura di Azienda USL Modena, Dipartimento di Sanità Pubblica, Servizio Veterinario - Informo



Risk Category:

Salmonella enterica in a traditional flan

Product/ingredient	Risk Class for the product/ingredient					Risk Category	
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	
Traditional flan	0	+	0	+	+	+	4
INGI	INGREDIENTS (as they are during preparation)						
UHT milk	0	+	0	+	0	+	3
Milk plus 50% UHT cream	0	+	0	+	0	+	3
Pasteurized egg	0	+	0	+	+	+	4
Sugar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vanilla extract	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caramel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



EXERCISE: RISK CATEGORY IN TRADITIONAL BAKERY

PRODUCTS

Risk Category: Clostridium prefringens in a Meat Pie

- Evaluate formulation and processing method of a Meat Pie to establish <u>risk classes and risk</u> <u>categories</u> for the finished product and for each ingredient.
- Please note that the ingredients should be considered as they are during preparation (e.g. minced or frozen or peeled).
- 3. For each <u>risk class from A to F</u>, mark + for presence and 0 for absence.









Clostridium perfringens in a Meat Pie

Product/ingredient	Ris	Risk Class for the product/ ingredient				Risk Category	
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	
Meat Pie							
INGREDIEN	TS (a	is the	y are	duri	ng pi	repara	ition)
Flour							
Durum wheat flour							
Sunflower Oil							
Water							
Beef cubes, chilled							
Potatoes							
Extra Virgin Olive Oil							
Fresh Parsley							
Spice Mix							
Salt							

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 2 CCPs IDENTIFICATION

A GENERAL RULE:

A STEP OR A PLACE CAN BE CONSIDERED A **CCP ONLY IF WE CAN DISTINCTIVELY REDUCE OR ELIMINATE THE HAZARD, E.G. BY CONTROLLING MEASURABLE** VARIABLES (temperature, pH, a_w, weight, % **NaCl**, % **O**₂, etc.)

MY HINT:

ANALYSE EACH STEP SEPARATELY ("Layer analysis") AND LOOK FOR THE HAZARDS THAT CAN OCCUR IN THAT STEP, INDEPENDENTLY FOR THE FOLLOWING STEPS.

MY RECOMMENDATION:

AVOID GENERALIZATION! ONE STEP CAN BE A CCP IN ONE PLANT AND NOT IN ANOTHER: E.G. VEGETABLES WASHING WITH OR WITHOUT DISINFECTANTS (CCP IF WE CAN DOSE THE DISINFECTANT)

CCPs IDENTIFICATION

NEEDS TO BE TAILOR MADE

PLEASE DO NOT

CONFUSE CCPs WITH HAZARDS!

One step where a hazard occurs is NOT necessarily a CCP!

It can be considered a CCP only if we can apply specific measures to reduce or eliminate the hazard.



EXERCISE: ANALYSIS OF A MICROBIOLOGICAL HAZARD

ANALYSIS OF Salmonella spp. HAZARD

IN DEEP-FROZEN SPINACH

STEP	CONTAMINATION	GROWTH	SURVIVAL
Fresh spinach			
Blanching			
Deep freezing			
Cooking			

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 3 Critical limits and tolerances

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

The effect of one or more control measure(s) needed to meet or contribute to meeting a performance objective, e.g. 6D reduction of *Salmonella* spp. in heat treatments.

ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

WE NEED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE INITIAL LEVEL OF THE HAZARD (H_0) AND THE CHANGES GENERATED BY THE PROCESS (REDUCTION ΣR OR INCREASE ΣI).

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA SHOULD BE BELOW OR EQUAL TO FSO.

 $H_0 - \Sigma R + \Sigma I \leq FSO$

- H_0 = Initial level of the hazard
- ΣR = Summation of the effects of reducing hazards
- ΣI = Summation of the effects of increasing hazards
- **FSO = Food Safety Objective**

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA: EXAMPLE No. 1 (EHEC in frozen curd for cheese manufacturing)

Epidemiological data on a specific area show that the number of cases on 100 000 inhabitants increases when EHEC load exceeds 1 ufc g^{-1} . Let's assume that FSO was fixed 100 times below: 1 ufc 100 g^{-1} (at the moment of consumption)





If the initial load of the curd is 10^3 ufc g^{-1} but the growth (Σ I) can be inhibited (frozen curd), the performance criterion of the whole manufacturing process of mozzarella can be calculated as:

 $H_0 - \Sigma R + \Sigma I \leq FSO; \qquad 3 - \Sigma R + 0 \leq -2$ PERFORMANCE CRITERIA: $\Sigma R \geq 5 \qquad (5D)$

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA: EXAMPLE No. 2 (Listeria monocytogenes in gorgonzola)

Epidemiological data on a specific area show that the number of cases on 100 000 inhabitants increases when L.m. load exceeds 10^3 ufc g^{-1} . Let's assume that FSO was fixed 10 times below:

100 ufc g^{-1} (at the moment of consumption)





If the product does not undergo any listericidal treatment ($\Sigma R=0$) and storage at temp. x for time y allows the increase of a single logarithmic cycle (1D), the initial count in gorgonzola (H₀) should correspond to the following performance criterion.

 $H_0 - \Sigma R + \Sigma I \leq FSO; H_0 - 0 + 1 \leq 2$ PERFORMANCE CRITERION: $H_0 \leq 1$

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 4 Monitoring

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN

MONITORING AND VERIFICATION

MONITORING: control measures for the real-time management of CCPs, mainly based on physical measurements

VERIFICATION: control measures for the periodical evaluation of the HACCP system, based on auditing, documentation, and experiments that do not necessarily generate real-time results (e.g. microbiological analyses)

METHODS FOR MONITORING

PROCEDURES: they are usually harmonized with quality management procedures. Key points: WHO WHAT HOW WHEN.

CONTROLS: whenever possible, rapid methods and official methods. The results should be obtained in time for corrective actions.

CODEX 4: PROCEDURES

They are the core of both HACCP and Quality Management System.

Fundamental procedures for HACCP: process control, cleaning and sanitizing, pest control, management of purchased materials, deviations management, labelling, traceability, allergens.

Each procedure should contain a responsibility assignment matrix, which describes who is accountable, responsible, consulted, or informed.

Example of Responsibility Assignment Matrix



A = Accountable; R = Responsible (works on); C = Consulted; I = Informed

From: http://todd.hello-ip.eu/rasci-matrix-template.html

Responsibility Assignment Matrix: difference between Accountability and Responsibility

Dictionary.com defines each as:

Accountable: "subject to the obligation to report, explain, or justify something; responsible; answerable." Responsible: "answerable or accountable, as for something

within one's power, control, or management."

Responsibility can be shared while accountability cannot.

Accountability: being responsible for something but also being answerable for the actions.

A = Accountable; R = Responsible (works on); C = Consulted; I = Informed

TEMPLATES FOR HACCP PROCEDURES: PREREQUISITES SOP AND PROCESS CONTROL PROCEDURE (From: Snyder, 2005)

PREREQUISITE PROCESS

FOOD (HACCP) PROCESS

DOUBLE HAND WASHING WITH A FINGERNAIL BRUSH HACCP

Person responsible: _____ Effective date: Dept.: Process: To double wash fingertips and hands to reduce by 1,000,000 to 1 pathogens The Hazard: Pathogensfrom feces

Standards and Operating Procedure

Get ready.



The double wash with the nail brush. Apply detergent to the fingernail brush.



Brush and lather, particularly fingertips and fingernails.



Second wash for additional toilet / food pathogen reduction or first wash for reduction of food pathogens to a safe level.

OHALITY-ASSURED HACCP RECIPE PROCEDURES

Recipe Name:		
Production style	c	
Written by:	Date:	
SAIQA by:	Date:	

Portion size (vol./wt.): Number of portions: Final yield (AS):

Preparation time: Prepared by: Supervisor:

ingred. B	Ingredients and Specifications	Edible Portion (EP) (weight or volume)	EP Weight	As served (weight)	
	ingred.	Ingred. Ingredients and Specifications	Ingred. Ingredients and Specifications Edible Portion (EP) (weight or volume)	Ingred. Ingredients and Specifications Edible Pertion (EP) EP Weight ************************************	

ingredients that could produce possible allergic reactions:

Prerequisite Procedures

SSOPs / GMPs. Control chemicals. Remove physical hazards from food. Double wash fingertips / personal hygiene (6-log reduction). Sanitize food contact surfaces (5-log reduction). Train, QC, Buy food and store.

Pre-preparation

- 1. Get food for recipe, <3-log increase L. monocytogenes (PHF <41*F).
- 2. Thaw <70°F
- 3. Do pre-prep. No time-temperature hazard. No CCP.
- 4. CCP. If making salad with uncooked ingredients, double wash all fruits and vegetables to reduce vegetative pathogens 2 log
- 5. CCP. Cook for 5-log Salmonella reduction (155%F, 15 seconds).
- 6. Hot hold, transport, serve. No growth of Clostridium perfringens (>125*F).

Leftovers

- 7. CCP. Cool to prevent <1-log increase of Clostridium pertringens (135 to 41*F, 14 hours; <2 inches deep or 1-gallon pot).
- 8. Cold hold. <3-log increase Bacillus cerews. If making cold mixed salad, get all ingredients <50% before mixing (PHF <41%F).
- Throw leftovers away or hold <7 days and reheat to 165^oF, 15 seconds (<3-log increase Bacillus cereus)
- 10. CCP. For allergen control, do not combine / mix leftovers.



Dry I	hands	using	paper	towell	(s)	ί.
- 5	and the second	B	Property in			•

Process	Start tood etc.	Thickest food	Container size	Cover	Temp. or/	End feed of	Process step
step #	temp., %	dimension (in)	HWML (in.)	YeaNo	around food		time, truinin,

- Preparation
- Hold / Serve

TEMPLATES FOR HACCP PROCEDURES: PRODUCT FORMULATION AND PROCESS CONTROL PROCEDURE (From: Snyder, 2005)

Gp. #	Ingredients and Specifications		ortion (EP) or volume)	EP Wt. %	
1	Onions, chopped	3.0 lb	1,360.00 g	13.26	Preparation
	Mushrooms, cut	3.0 lb	1,360.00 g	13.26	1. Prepare sauce. Sauté onions, mushrooms, green peppers,
	Peppers, green, cut	2.0 lb	907.2 g	8.84	
	Garlic, chopped	6 Tbsp.	85.05 g	0.53	minced garlic in oil. Add crushed tomatoes with juice, wine, and
	Tomatoes, canned, crushed	13.25 lb	6,010.00 g	58.58	seasonings. Simmer (205°F, 10 min.).
	Oil, vegetable	1/4 cup	54.00 g	0.53	 Hold sauce (165°F, 20 min.) for use in Step 4.
	Wine, Marsala or Madeira	2 cups	472.00 g	4.60	
	Oregano, crushed	2 tsp.	3.00 g	0.03	1
	Salt	1 tsp.	5.50 g	0.05	1
	Pepper	1 tsp.	2.10 g	0.02	1
	Total	22.6 lb	10,258.85 g	100.00	1
Π	Chickens, whole	62 lb			 Prepare chicken. Get chicken quarters (40°F). Remove rib bones. <u>CCP</u> Place quarters, one layer deep in shallow roasting pans. Bake (brown) chicken in a convection oven at 350°F for 30 min. (>165°F) (<i>Temp & initials</i>) Remove pans of chicken from oven (>165°F). Cover the chicken quarters with sauce (from Step 1a). 4a. <u>CCP</u> Pour off liquid. Cool. (135 to 41°F). (<i>Temp & initials</i>) Return pans of chicken and sauce to ovens at 300°F. Bake until all parts of the chicken reach 175°F (45 min.). Check temperature of chicken. If temperature is not 175°F, continue to cook. Cover and transfer to 150°F hot holding unit. Hold / Serve Hold, ≥150°F. Serve 1/4 chicken covered with 3 oz. sauce (>150°F, <2 hours). Leftovers <u>CCP</u> Cool <41°F in <6 hours. ≤2 inches deep. (<i>Temp & initials</i>) Ingredients that could produce allergic reactions: Tomatoes, wine

TEMPLATES FOR PROCEDURES: HACCP FLOW CHART FOR CASSEROLED CHICKEN (From: Snyder, 2005)



CODEX 4: RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Document = "content file that has information in a structured or unstructured format". It is editable. It can be stored as paper or digitally. <u>It can be changed and revised</u>. Record = "historical file that provides <u>proof of existence</u> of activities and results". <u>It is not editable and cannot be</u> <u>recreated</u>. It can be stored as paper or digitally.

In the current version of the quality norm ISO 9001:2015, documents and records are described together as "documented information".

CODEX 4: RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

In HACCP, Records should provide proof of existence of both control measures and audits.

Aims: to give evidence of CCPs management and control, as well as to explain corrective actions and traceability.

In incoming materials control, traffic light labels are often used to provide proof of existence of inspections.

CODEX 4: TRAFFIC LIGHT LABELS

In both HACCP and Quality Systems, the traffic light method can be considered part of the suitable measurement traceability resources (ISO 9001:2015, par. 7.1.5.2.)



HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 5 Corrective actions

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- Corrective actions should be applied whenever a CCP is out of control (critical limit/tolerance) or when it tends to exceed the tolerance.
- The choice of the corrective action depends on: hazard severity, regulatory constraints, processing step, available control systems, etc.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS OR

TREATMENT OF NON-CONFORMANCE?

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS can be considered as the TREATMENT OF CCP DEVIATIONS. For this reason, they are <u>similar to the treatment of non-conformance</u> in Quality Management Systems.

In HACCP, the term "deviation" is more appropriate than "non-conformance", because CCP management relies on tailor made control measures more than conformance to universal standards.

EXAMPLES OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- **1. Stop production**
- 2. Hold the product non in compliance
- 3. Isolate and hold product for safety evaluation
- 4. Correct the deviation on the line, and then continue with production
- **5. Reprocess**
- 6. Destroy
- 7. Downgrade the product (e.g. decrease sell-by date, cook)
- 8. Analyse product, and then continue with production


EXERCISE: CASE STUDIES FOR CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 6 Verification

VERIFICATION

VERIFICATION: control measures for the periodical evaluation of the HACCP system, based on auditing, documentation, and experiments that do not necessarily generate real-time results (e.g. microbiological analyses)

EXAMPLES OF VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES

- **1. Internal audits**
- 2. Document review
- 3. External audits (suppliers, customers)
- 4. Analytical controls (also microbiological)
- 5. Statistical analysis
- 6. Feed-back on the results of corrective actions
- 7. Feed-back on complaints and recalls
- 8. Experimental trials (e.g. thermal evaluations on the equipment)
- 9. Mathematical modelling
- 10. Feed-back on the effects of staff changes

HACCP AUDITS

AUDIT (from the Latin *audire* = to listen)

"systematic, independent and documented process for obtaining objective evidence and evaluating it objectively to determine the extent to which the audit criteria are fulfilled"

THE EVIDENCE GATHERING METHOD



An audit is a systematic objective evidence gathering

<u>process</u>.

Audits must be independent.

The auditor chooses the right questions and listen to the answers. <u>By comparing the different versions</u>, evidence must be evaluated objectively to determine how well audit criteria are being met.



EXERCISE: CASE STUDIES FOR VERIFICATION

HACCP

CODEX PRINCIPLE No. 7 Documentation

REMEMBER THE DIFFERENCE!

Document = "content file that has information in a structured or unstructured format". It is editable. It can be stored as paper or digitally. It can be changed and revised. Record = "historical file that provides <u>proof of existence</u> of activities and results". <u>It is not editable and cannot be</u> <u>recreated</u>. It can be stored as paper or digitally.

In the current version of the quality norm ISO 9001:2015, documents and records are described together as "documented information".

HACCP DOCUMENTATION

HACCP PROTOCOL

It includes the fundamental and regulatory data of the HACCP System:

GOOD HYGIENE PRACTICE PROCEDURES

HACCP PLAN FOR ALL CCPs

HACCP DOCUMENTATION

HACCP PLAN

It is a part of the HACCP protocol. It describes the activities carried out for all the Codex Principles at any CCP.

HACCP DOCUMENTATION

HACCP MANUAL

It is NOT compulsory. Its structure is similar to a Quality Manual and includes several documents on the HACCP System:

HACCP PROTOCOL

ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES

OTHER DOCUMENTS: e.g. ingredients charts, packaging, maps, information on equipment, etc.

TRACEABILITY

- "ability to trace the history, application or location of an object" (ISO 9000:2015).
- The traceability system can be developed on any information technology (paper, magnetic media, ecc.).

TRACEABILITY

When considering a product or a service, traceability can relate to:

- the origin of materials and parts;
- the processing history;

- the distribution and location of the product or service after delivery.

GHP (Good Hygiene Practice)

- General rules, not intended for single processes
 - They are not aimed to specific hazards
- They are not targeted at specific equipments
 - They provide general information for prevention
 - Records are not necessary

SOPs

(Standard Operating Procedures)

Procedures and activities that can be considered transversal in all manufacturing processes, as they create favourable conditions for food safety In particular, they provide tools to manage specific hazards, when no CCP can be detected

SOPs

(Standard Operating Procedures)

Each manufacturer can develop and apply specific SOPs for its own company, e.g.:

- Cleaning
- Pest control
- Water supply
- Wastes
- Equipments
- Controls on workers
- Suppliers

SSOPs

(Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures)

Procedures that should be applied daily to prevent contamination or spoilage or food products. Particular focus on food safety contact surfaces.

Compulsory in the companies with specific authorizations for export (e.g. USA and Japan).

SOPs EXAMPLES

Available in different websites, e.g.:

http://templatelab.com/sop-templates/

https://ncfreshproducesafety.ces.ncsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/ 2014/03/how-to-write-an-SOP.pdf?fwd=no

http://sop.nfsmi.org/HACCPBasedSOPs.php

EXAMPLES OF THERMOMETRIC RECORD



TELETHERMOMETER: any of various thermometers that indicate or record temperatures at a distance, as by means of an electric current

DATA LOGGER: individual records (old on paper disc/paper ribbon, now mainly on magnetic media)

EXAMPLE OF HACCP FLOW CHART: WRONG



EXAMPLE OF HACCP FLOW CHART: RIGHT



HACCP plan form for pasteurized crabmeat

Glorious Crab, Inc.

123 Harvest Way, Seaside, MD 12345

Product Description: <u>Pasteurized Crabmeat (ready-to-eat, cans and pouches)</u> Method of Storage and Distribution: <u>Refrigerated storage and distribution</u> Intended Use and Consumer:<u>Retail and foodservice, general public</u>

(1) Critical Control Point (CCP)	(2) Significant Hazards	(3) Critical Limits for each Preventive Measure	Monitoring				(8) Corrective action	(9) Verification	(10) Records
			(4) What	(5) How	(6) Frequency	(7) Who			
Sealing / Seaming / Pouch handling	Recontamination of pathogens from loss of package integrity	Can seams: overlap, thickness and tightness = manufacturer's specifications: visually acceptable <u>Pouches</u> : no failures; visually acceptable	Can seams, Pouch seals and integrity	Cans: 1) seam teardown evaluation 2) visual inspection Pouches: 1) head seal destruction test 2) compression test 3) visual inspection	Cans: 1) one at start-up and when an adjustment is made to seaming machine, 2) one from every batch Pouches: 1 & 2) one each at start- up and when vacuum sealer is adjusted, 3) all pouches	Packing room Supervisor, Seamer Technician	Readjust sealing / seaming machine, repack product since previous seal / seam check or hold product and test seals / seams and repack lots containing affected pouches / cans. If already pasteurized, repack and re-pasteurize.	Weekly records review .	Blue Crab Can Seam Evaluation Record Pouch Integrity and Thickness Record
Pasteurization (heating step)	Survival of pathogens which could be favored by anaerobic conditions and extended storage	Cans': Heat for a minimum of 121 minutes at 187°F or hotter. Pouches': Heat for a minimum of 50 minutes at ≥187°F, thickness ≤11nch. (This shoedule achieves a minimum process lethality of F ¹⁰ 186 =31 minutes.) 'Example only – use critical limits from process schedule established for your system.	1) Hot waterbath temperature 2) Time at temperature specified in process schedule 3) Cans only Initial product temperature	Time/temperature chart recorder Indicating thermometer (glass or digital)	Continuously each batch Visual check once each batch	Pasteurizer operator	 Fully reprocess or both of the following: Extend process or elevate temperature to compensate for C.L. deviation; and Segregate and hold product for evaluation by process authority 	 Process establishment documentation Weekly records review Check accuracy of chart recorder against reference thermometer for each batch Calibrate reference thermometer twice yearly 	Pasteurization Record Chart Pouch Integrity and Thickness Record
Pasteurization	Water containing	Measurable residual	presence of	Colorimetric test kit	Every 2 hours	Quality	Add chlorine to	Weekly records	Pasteurization

DA: S. Franke, 2016

HACCP AUDIT

The same procedures used in Quality Management Audits, focused on Prerequisites and Codex Principles

ISTISAN guidelines (Italian Istitute of Health) (Rapporto ISTISAN 99/10)

METHODS FOR THE HACCP AUDIT

STEPS:



HACCP audit: documents



Data analysis according to PRPs and Codex Principles



Deviations and observations according to PRPs and **Codex Principles**



COMPARISON BETWEEN HACCP AND OTHER QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS



SPC: Statistical Process Control; QFD: Quality Function Deployment; DoE: Design of Experiments)



applicable
 partly applicable
 affecting

a: health aspects only; b: general rules, not specific for single processes



EXERCISE: JUDGEMENT ON HACCP DEVIATIONS

Please identify which Codex Principle (or PRPs) is primarily involved in a HACCP deviation. Your goal is to identify the first deviated principle in the HACCP chain.

Example

In a cheese manufacturing line, the metal detector can detect metals in food samples but the diverter is not working correctly, thus nonconforming products are packaged. ANSWER: PRPs or CODEX PRINCIPLE No.

5 (Corrective Actions)

The company is not carrying out fundamental checks (e.g. heat penetration curves, pH measurement, etc) that are necessary to apply corrective actions.

During the audit in the clean room, the guide illustrates the new procedure for air filtration test. However, this procedure is not mentioned in the HACCP Manual.

No alarm is available for the telethermometer.

The company is not able to provide any scientific justification for the different process setpoints used in the heat treatment of various formulations.

Verification only includes the same checks applied for Monitoring.

In a fish filleting line, there is no inspection table for parasites, and parasites are not mentioned in the HACCP Protocol.

In a company producing acidified vegetables in oil, no pHmeter is available in the production line. However, a pHmeter is present in the finished products storage area, where it is used for random controls.

No pest control activity is carried out in the raw ingredients area. The guide explained that they "never had that problem". However, the HACCP Manual does not explain why insects should be absent in that area and does not mention insects as a hazard.