

Poster Title	Food Hazard Analysis
Date of Review	30/4/2018
Reviewer's Name & Organization	Prof Omer Maaitah and Aseel Yousef Mutah University

1. Assessment of Poster by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent

POSTER PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	Y			
The poster attracts viewer attention and is appealing to look at.	Y			
The poster is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.	Y			
The poster stimulates interest and discussion.	Y			
Words (font size or style) are easy to read from an appropriate distance (3'-5').	Y			
Colour schemes used are easy on the eye.	Y			
Graphics and other visuals enhance presentation.	Y			
Graphs and figures are clear and well labeled.	Y			
Illustrations are of good technical quality.	Y			
Illustrations are relevant to the presentation.	Y			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
The title is specific, adequate and short.	Y			
Topic and purpose are clearly identified.	Y			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	Y			
Content (text) is accurate.	Y			
The content is according to the work package	Y			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
objectives.				
The poster is free of unnecessary detail.		N		
The poster supports main points.	Y			
Important information is readily available and easy to grasp.	Y			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes.	Y			
The poster contains sufficient scientific explanation, where needed.	Y			
The poster does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	Y			
There is not any information in the poster that should be removed.	Y			

NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable

2. Suggested improvements (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements)

Suggested Improvement
<p>The poster design is simple which need more attraction.</p> <p>The content needs to be enhanced with more sophisticated knowledge.</p>

3. Any other observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention)

Observations
<p>Nothing</p>

4. Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Poster accepted, no changes required	yes
Poster accepted but changes required	
Poster not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	