

Poster Title	Food preservation
Date of Review	30/4/2018
Reviewer's Name & Organization	Prof Omer Maaitah and Aseel Yousef Mutah University

1. Assessment of Poster by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent
					+++

POSTER PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	Y			
The poster attracts viewer attention and is appealing to look at.	Y			
The poster is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.	Y			
The poster stimulates interest and discussion.	Y			
Words (font size or style) are easy to read from an appropriate distance (3'-5').	Y			
Colour schemes used are easy on the eye.	Y			
Graphics and other visuals enhance presentation.	Y			
Graphs and figures are clear and well labeled.	Y			
Illustrations are of good technical quality.	Y			
Illustrations are relevant to the presentation.	Y			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
The title is specific, adequate and short.	Y			
Topic and purpose are clearly identified.	Y			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	Y			
Content (text) is accurate.	Y			
The content is according to the work package	Y			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
objectives.				
The poster is free of unnecessary detail.	Y			
The poster supports main points.	Y			
Important information is readily available and easy to grasp.	Y			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes.	Y			
The poster contains sufficient scientific explanation, where needed.	Y			
The poster does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	Y			
There is not any information in the poster that should be removed.	Y			

NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable

2. Suggested improvements (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements)

Suggested Improvement
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The poster design is simple which need more attraction. The content needs to be enhanced with more sophisticated knowledge.

3. Any other observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention)

Observations
Nothing

4. Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Poster accepted, no changes required	Yes
Poster accepted but changes required	
Poster not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	