

<b>Poster Title</b>	<b>Raw materials: Reception and control</b>
<b>Date of Review</b>	<b>30/04/2018 (final review – english version)</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name &amp; Organization</b>	<b>UNIST-FOODQA team/Josipa Giljanović</b>

### 1. Assessment of Poster by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent

POSTER PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	X			
The poster attracts viewer attention and is appealing to look at.	X			
The poster is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.	X			
The poster stimulates interest and discussion.	X			
Words (font size or style) are easy to read from an appropriate distance (3'-5').	X			I supposed because I read it on computer
Colour schemes used are easy on the eye.	X			I hope, because I am not sure when posters print on bigger size, will the background colure bee too strong, on computer looks very good
Graphics and other visuals enhance presentation.	X			
Graphs and figures are clear and well labeled.	X			
Illustrations are of good technical quality.	X			
Illustrations are relevant to the presentation.	X			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
The title is specific, adequate and short.	X			
Topic and purpose are clearly identified.	X			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	X			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
Content (text) is accurate.	x			
The content is according to the work package objectives.	x			
The poster is free of unnecessary detail.	x			
The poster supports main points.	x			
Important information is readily available and easy to grasp.	x			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes.	x			
The poster contains sufficient scientific explanation, where needed.	x			
The poster does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	x			
There is not any information in the poster that should be removed.	x			

**NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable**

**2. Suggested improvements** (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements)

**Suggested Improvement: see observation**

**3. Any other observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention)

**Observations**

The poster is very well planned and organized. I'm sorry I do not have the ability to print it to the appropriate size in color. I hope that the background color and color of the image will not overlap, so I'm not sure that background color printing should be brighter.

Very well done

**4. Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Poster accepted, no changes required	x
Poster accepted but changes required	
Poster not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	