

<b>Poster Title</b>	<b>Food Hazard Analysis</b>
<b>Date of Review</b>	<b>30/4/2018</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name &amp; Organization</b>	<b>Dr Mohammed Tarawneh/ Jerash University</b>

### 1. Assessment of Poster by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent
<b>Overall Impression/Quality</b>				√ Simple , clear	

POSTER PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	√			
The poster attracts viewer attention and is appealing to look at.	√			
The poster is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.			√	Numbering lost the number 7
The poster stimulates interest and discussion.	√			
Words (font size or style) are easy to read from an appropriate distance (3'-5').			√	visuals enhance presentation not clear in that size
Colour schemes used are easy on the eye.	√			
Graphics and other visuals enhance presentation.	√			
Graphs and figures are clear and well labeled.	√			
Illustrations are of good technical quality.			√	Illustration needs more resolution
Illustrations are relevant to the presentation.	√			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
The title is specific, adequate and short.	√			
Topic and purpose are clearly identified.	√			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	√			
Content (text) is accurate.	√			
The content is according to the work package objectives.	√			
The poster is free of unnecessary detail.	√			
The poster supports main points.	√			
Important information is readily available and easy to grasp.	√			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes.			√	Number 7 lost from numbering
The poster contains sufficient scientific explanation,	√			

Project Nr 574010-EPP-1-2016-1-JO-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



where needed.				
The poster does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	√			
There is not any information in the poster that should be removed.	√			

**NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable**

**2. Suggested improvements** (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements)

<b>Suggested Improvement</b>
Re-numbering Re-illustrate the accuracy in the illustration

**3. Any other observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention)

<b>Observations</b>
No need more information

**4. Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Poster accepted, no changes required	
Poster accepted but changes required	√
Poster not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	