

Video Title	Control of reception of raw materials
Date of Review	30/4/18
Reviewer's Name & Organization	UNIST-FOODQA team/Josipa Giljanović

1. Assessment of Video by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent
					Х

VIDEO APPEARANCE	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	х			
The video is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.	х			
The video stimulates interest and discussion.	Х			
The video is the right length (not too short / not too long).	х			
The pace of the video is appropriate (not to slow / not too fast).	х			
The video communicates the objectives and the aims of the topic.	х			

CONTENT	Y	Ν	NA	Comments
The introduction is stimulating, informative and motivating.	Х			
The purpose is clearly identified.	Х			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	Х			
The information given is accurate.	Х			
The content is effective in accomplishing its goals and according to the work package objectives.	Х			
The content is interesting, Informative and appropriate for the target audience.	Х			
The video contains sufficient scientific explanation,	Х			

Project Nr 574010-EPP-1-2016-1-JO-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Page 1 of 3



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union









CONTENT	Y	Ν	NA	Comments
where needed.				
Titles and subtitles are appropriate.	Х			
The video does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	Х			
There is not any information in the video that should be removed.	Х			
The video ends smoothly, summarizing the main points.	Х			

PRODUCTION QUALITY	Y	N	NA	Comments
The narration is understandable and of good quality.	х			
The vocabulary is appropriate.	х			
The music, if any, is appropriate for the video.	х			
There are no distracting background noises.	Х			
The audio and video are in sync.	х			
Sound volume, clarity and mix noise are of good quality.	х			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes in subtitles and narration.	х			
The video is of good quality (appropriate camera movement, proper frame rate, good sequence of scenes, good lighting, cables/props are hidden)	х			
The graphics/animations of the video, if any, add value and are used in appropriate places.	Х			

NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable

1. Suggested improvements (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements - add rows as needed)

Minute	Suggested Improvement

Project Nr 574010-EPP-1-2016-1-JO-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union







2. Any other observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - add rows as needed)

Minute	Observations
	The image follows the narrative, everything is well-structured, goes right, just as thoughtful as it is, recorded well.

3. Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Video accepted, no changes required	X
Video accepted but changes required	
Video not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	

Project Nr 574010-EPP-1-2016-1-JO-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



