

Video Title	Video "Cleaning and Disinfection"
Date of Review	30/04/2018 (final review – English version)
Reviewer's Name & Organization	Antonello Paparella / UNITE

1. Assessment of Video by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent
				X	

VIDEO APPEARANCE	Y	N	NA	Comments
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	X			
The video is well organized, easy to follow and has a logical, clear progression of ideas.	X			
The video stimulates interest and discussion.		X		The graphical format can be improved (less words and more images or videos). The video could be more appealing with some animations or videos inside.
The video is the right length (not too short / not too long).	X			
The pace of the video is appropriate (not too slow / not too fast).	X			
The video communicates the objectives and the aims of the topic.	X			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
The introduction is stimulating, informative and motivating.	X			
The purpose is clearly identified.	X			
Content is clear and easy to understand.	X			
The information given is accurate.	X			
The content is effective in accomplishing its goals	X			

CONTENT	Y	N	NA	Comments
and according to the work package objectives.				
The content is interesting, Informative and appropriate for the target audience.	X			
The video contains sufficient scientific explanation, where needed.	X			
Titles and subtitles are appropriate.		X		No titles and subtitles
The video does not need the addition of information to reach completeness.	X			
There is not any information in the video that should be removed.	X			
The video ends smoothly, summarizing the main points.		X		Conclusions are missing. The final paragraph is interrupted.

PRODUCTION QUALITY	Y	N	NA	Comments
The narration is understandable and of good quality.	X			
The vocabulary is appropriate.	X			
The music, if any, is appropriate for the video.	X			
There are no distracting background noises.	X			
The audio and video are in sync.	X			
Sound volume, clarity and mix noise are of good quality.	X			
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes in subtitles and narration.		X		Minor mistakes, not relevant
The video is of good quality (appropriate camera movement, proper frame rate, good sequence of scenes, good lighting, cables/props are hidden)		X		No videos, just images
The graphics/animations of the video, if any, add value and are used in appropriate places.	X			

NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific deliverable

- 2. Suggested improvements** (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements - add rows as needed)

Minute	Suggested Improvement
1	Improve the graphical format, by both reducing the text and adding some animations or videos

2	Add titles and subtitles
3	Add conclusions with a summary of the main points
4	Revise the final speech, which is interrupted

3. Any other observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - add rows as needed)

Minute	Observations
	...

4. Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Video accepted, no changes required	
Video accepted but changes required	X
Video not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	